



**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

Date: February 1, 2018

Contact: Tracy Hames, Executive Director, Wisconsin Wetlands Association (WWA).  
214 N. Hamilton St. #201 Madison, WI 53703, 608-250-9971  
Tracy.Hames@wisconsinwetlands.org, [www.wisconsinwetlands.org](http://www.wisconsinwetlands.org)

**Assembly Committee Votes to Remove Protection for Thousands of Acres of Wetlands**

The Assembly Committee on Regulatory Licensing Reform voted today to amend Assembly Bill 547 on a party-line vote. AB 547, as amended, will clear the way for destruction of hundreds of thousands of acres of wetlands important for providing fish and wildlife habitat, reducing floods, recharging groundwater, and protecting water quality.

The amended bill also promotes sprawl by removing protection of state jurisdictional wetlands in every city and village in Wisconsin, including areas within a one-mile radius of those municipalities, all sewerage service areas, and in nearly 300 town sanitary districts spread all across Wisconsin. As communities grow, those wetland permit exemptions areas will grow.

Though the authors maintained that no net loss of wetland acreage would result, this amendment removes nearly all wetland replacement provisions included in the original bill. It also removes local authority to protect isolated wetlands through zoning. These provisions will result in a net loss of untold amounts of wetlands across Wisconsin's urban and rural settings, undermining community-based efforts to address flooding and poor water quality challenges.

"Wisconsin Wetlands Association is strongly supportive of policies that reduce red tape, promote well-planned economic development, and protect our wetland resources. This bill achieves none of those goals. It's incredibly disappointing to see this bill move forward, especially in light of the fact that almost none of the recommendations given by the conservation and sportsmen's communities were adopted in the amended bill," said Tracy Hames, Executive Director of Wisconsin Wetlands Association. "For these reasons we remain firmly opposed to the original bill and this amendment."